



Name: _____

THEORY STANDARDS

Color the circle that has the most relevant and best fitting standard for the interpretation presented.

Let's say you are planning on running status theory against your opponent for their CP status being conditional:

- GROUND: a conditional counterplan limits the affirmative ground too much and unfairly.
- STRAT SKEW: conditional CPs eliminate a clear vision of NEG final rebuttals meaning it disincentives AFFs from reading offense in the 2AC/1AR for fear of contradictions and strategic uncertainty.

Now, let's say you are planning on running theory against your opponent for not specifying an actor in their plan:

- TOPIC EDUCATION: failing to specify the actor leads to a poorer, less substantive understanding of the topic.
- GROUND: failure to specify destroys agent CP ground, which is core negative ground.

Finally, let's say you are planning on running theory against your opponent who is running a consult CP:

- LIMITS: a consultation counterplan unfairly limits the bounds of affirmative positions.
- TOPIC EDUCATION: debate should be about the plan - not consulting. The NEG's CP eliminates any substantive discussion about the topic and it's relevant literature.