



Name: _____

Answering Theory

Word Bank

"standards"

"we meet"

"counter-interpretations"

"weighing standards"

Fill in the blanks

1. In response to a specific interpretation, a team/debater can argue that _____ which means that there is no violation.
2. Debaters can offer _____ which is an opposing perspective on why or why not something may be bad/harmful.
3. Debaters can engage in _____, which is where they argue that their opponents standards are either not intrinsically valuable, or less valuable than their own.
4. In response to their opponents reasons for why their interpretation is good, debaters can offer their own _____ following their proposed interpretation.

How many conditional advocacies is too abusive? Why?

Now, come up with a counter-interpretation to the first question.

BONUS: Should your counter-interpretation on certain theory types change dependent on the round? Why or why not:
